MINUTES OF 01/11/24 JOINT POA/CDD MEETING

CORY LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The Joint POA/CDD Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Cory Lakes Community Development
District was held Thursday, January 11, 2024 at 6:00 p.m. at Cory Lakes Beach Club, 10441 Cory Lake Drive,
Tampa, Florida 33647. The public was able to listen and/or participate in person as well as via ZOOM, at

6 https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83731431918?pwd=clMzOTNheDErWDFaQU9QUFFXSjRZdz09,

Meeting ID: 837 3143 1918, Passcode: 123456, or telephonically at +1-305-224-1968, Meeting ID: 837 3143 1918, Passcode: 123456.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS - Call to Order/Roll Call/Pledge of Allegiance

Chairman Castillo called the meeting to order at 6:02 p.m., conducted roll call, and led everyone in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

12 Present and constituting a quorum were:

13	Jorge Castillo	Board Supervisor, Chairman
14	Ronald Acoff	Board Supervisor, Assistant Secretary
15	Rene Fontcha	Board Supervisor, Assistant Secretary
16	Cynthia McIntyre	Board Supervisor, Assistant Secretary

17 Also present were:

1

9

10

11

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46

18	Larry Krause	District Manager, BREEZE
19	Vivek Babbar	District Counsel, SRV
20	John Hall	Facilities Manager, CLI
21	Dominique Green	Office Administrator, CLI
22	Sheryl Springer	POA President, CLI
23	Rishi Vasudeva	POA Secretary, CLI
24	Juan Aliaga	POA Secretary, CLI
25	Marcelo Mejia	POA Treasurer, CLI
26	Web Melton	POA Counsel, CLI
27	Alicia Payne (via Zoom)	Regional Director, LCAM

The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the January 11, 2024 Cory Lakes CDD Board of Supervisors Joint POA/CDD Meeting.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS – Audience Comments

Mr. Babbar addressed the item for the meeting that applied to the first opportunity on the agenda for Audience Comments by reviewing Exhibit 1, which included only those sections in the covenants where CDD and POA responsibilities coincide pertaining to authority in the community. He reminded the audience that concerns involving only the POA would not be discussed during the meeting, and that residents could raise those issues at the end of the meeting under the fourth agenda item, for Audience Comments – New Business.

Chairman Castillo opened Audience Comments.

Mr. Foster asked the Board to check on having a maximum of two (2) vehicles parked in driveways. He said that this could be an inconvenience and suggested the Board supervise lot owners in moving their vehicles and being mindful of their property's appearance. Mr. Babbar restated that the discussion would focus on issues that could be resolved by both the CDD and POA, and that this was not covered by the scope of the meeting. Some residents said that they wanted to address several items on covenants and bylaws, and Mr. Babbar reminded the residents to be specific on Exhibit 1. Mr. Foster and Mr. McCormick decided to discuss their comments later in the meeting under non-agenda items.

Mr. Hansen said that the POA started a Rewrite Committee for the covenants in 2022, wherein residents were the members and added that he was a part of it. He shared an overview of the committee's timeline, asked the POA about an update. Mr. Babbar advised that some of the items raised by Mr. Hansen could be addressed.

Mr. Gudale asked the Board to amend the covenants and bylaws and noted that he was concerned about the possibility of the selling of the Meadows property and any future annexation of new land by the CDD. He asked the Board if they could include these concerns in the covenants. Mr. Melton asked Mr. Gudale to clarify the annexed property. Mr. Gudale clarified that he is concerned about the annexation of adjacent land, either private or public property, into the community. Mr. Melton responded that he would get back to Mr. Gudale on that matter. Ms. Springer said that the residents want this stated in the covenants, and Mr. Melton answered that it may be possible for POA to do so.

Supervisor Acoff asked Mr. Babbar if this item was out of order. Mr. Babbar said that the Board can address the concerns one at a time. Supervisor Acoff discussed that about 900 homeowners previously signed a petition to not sell the Meadows, and he was trying to get the verbiage in the covenant wherein the transaction could not proceed unless the majority of the residents voted for it. He said that the CDD had no authority and hoped that in the current meeting with the POA and counsel, they could address that. Mr. Melton explained that the annexation of property would not be easy and must undergo a process after the developer was gone. He added that there would be no problem if the community requested for it to be added to the document. Supervisor McIntyre clarified that it is from Article 4 of the current covenants and asked the POA if the word "developer" would be removed and replaced with "Board of Directors," which was suggested five (5) years ago.

Mr. Babbar clarified that the POA had a process and could be checked in Section 19.5 of the amendments, wherein fifty-one percent (51%) of the property owners should have voted to take the next step. He confirmed that the residents' request would be possible if they undergo the same process. Mr. Melton stated that the final decision would still be coming from the homeowners, and not from the Board. Supervisor Acoff said adding this language to the covenants would contribute to the unity of the residents and enhance the quality of the community. Ms. Springer restated that the homeowners would have the final say and said that the discussion and the goal of the Rewrite Committee is to have a document that residents would vote on, and if majority was not reached, it would be considered invalid. Supervisor Acoff reiterated that the residents wanted to know what was revised, showing the specific changes in the recent document in comparison with the previous one. Mr. Melton explained that when amendments were complete, there would be two (2) versions of the document shared with residents, a clean version and one with tracked changes. He added that the presented document in the meeting was the existing version of the declaration with the amendments.

Mr. Kota commented that he agreed with Mr. Gudale's statements.

Mr. Yeluru also expressed his objection to the annexation of land, adding that ninety percent (90%) of residents supported them. He also said that he does not support selling the vacant lot to developers. He reiterated that these two (2) items should be added in the covenants, and wanted a motion passed at the end of the meeting. He mentioned that this issue had been raised for many years and looked forward to having this settled with the cooperation of both CDD and POA Boards.

Mr. Metuku said that he supported the residents' standpoint and thanked everyone for being there tonight to address the matter.

Mr. Guzman summarized what most residents in attendance asked for – no annexation, no selling of land, and for the CDD to not allow construction of townhomes smaller than 2500 square feet.

Mr. Halade said he wanted a single point of reference for the homeowners.

Mr. Reich acknowledged that covenant change is difficult, and pointed out that the present document needed a specific percent of homeowners' approval to be amended. He said that he wanted assurance that the mentioned requirement for the change would be the same and not be decreased. He also suggested that, aside from the tracked version, the Board should have a platform wherein residents could send feedback on the revisions. He advised the Board to assess any changes based on three (3) items, which include necessity, effectiveness in terms of improvement, and alignment with the standards of a high-end community.

Mr. Sethi recalled the voting process and the decision made on having a multimillion-dollar gym, the selling of the Meadows, and the annexation of new land. He said that 51% of homeowners must be reached to

Page 3 of 13

approve the agreement, and suggested adding to the covenants the use of mail book or ballot, which could be collected and recorded after residents had voted. Mr. Babbar clarified if Mr. Sethi was referring to a specific situation or in general, and Mr. Sethi responded that what he said were examples. Mr. Babbar explained that the selling of Meadows and construction of the gym were CDD items, and that the annexation might have coincided with the POA and could be considered. He added that the CDD, a local government unit, is under Chapter 190 which states their scope and responsibilities and excludes the voting conditions. He said that the CDD does not go through the process of referendum due to complex actions, such as property taxes and mentioned Ad Valorem assessments. He referred to the law stating that the Board of Supervisors would have the final decision, and said that his request might not be totally accepted as what he expected but would be but quite similar. Mr. Sethi gave a hypothetical example that suggested a process wherein residents could raise their concerns. Mr. Babbar said that the issue was mainly for the CDD Board and could be discussed at the upcoming CDD meetings. He reminded all that the present meeting would cover only the overlap of CDD and POA functions. Mr. Sethi acknowledged Mr. Babbar's suggestions, provided that the CDD Board would resolve the subject in the future.

Mr. Perry introduced himself and asked if there would be a provision in the covenants for grandfathering for certain rules. He also inquired about the enforcement of the covenants if revisions would be made and wanted to know the number of POA citations given for the past six (6) months.

Ms. Pocara (*via Zoom*) said that she did not find the agenda packet on the CDD website, and asked how and when the residents would receive a copy of amendments of the covenants. Mr. Babbar advised that the agenda packet was, in fact, on the CDD website.

A resident asked if the covenants shown in Exhibit 1 were the revised version, and Chairman Castillo said that they were the existing covenants.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS – Business Items

Supervisor Acoff asked the POA Board what possible actions there would be after the night's discussion. Supervisor McIntyre expressed her appreciation for the POA and CDD working together.

Mr. Melton explained that approval from the CDD Board would be needed if there were changes that would greatly affect the CDD, and that there were questions on jurisdiction between the CDD and the POA. He stated that the majority of the discussion would be about present conditions, and that the POA Board wanted clarification on who would be responsible for some items.

Ms. Springer read Section 10.4, noting that construction might be done by the developer or the CDD. She asked the CDD Board if this section should remain or be considered outdated. She said that she had asked Mr. Hall if this would affect entering and leaving the property. Mr. Hall stated that there could be potential litigation and access issues if easements were not made and clarified that it was not about changing easements. Ms. Springer said that this could be struck. Mr. Babbar said that it would not be possible since easements were already created unless a homeowner would not permit access. Ms. Springer asked about the process required for the CDD to omit a section, and Mr. Melton said that could happen if the CDD approved the change. Chairman Castillo asked if the approval being referred to was the vote of 51% of the homeowners on the draft, and Mr. Melton agreed.

Chairman Castillo said that Section 10.4 was not a previous concern and suggested that they move on to a more complex issue. He acknowledged the POA Board's challenging work when receiving complaints and opined that the main issue was enforcement. He said there should be no selective enforcement, and encouraged penalties for violations so that residents would be more mindful. He stated that this would benefit the community and provide a better quality of living. He suggested not going line by line through the document due to time constraints.

Chairman Castillo spoke about Section 14.8 on having two (2) vehicles parked in a driveway and noted he has seen multiple cars in driveways and cars parked on the grass. He spoke of dead trees, and asked the POA Board if residents were penalized for not trimming those trees. He said that POA has the authority to notify the

141

142

143

144 145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158 159

160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167

168 169

170 171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185 186

187

residents on that matter. He opined that if the POA did not fine residents for violations, the community would not improve.

Mr. Mejia acknowledged Chairman Castillo's statements and said the POA has a management company that should be managing enforcement. He added that this company inspects and sends notices if violations are found. He said that he was not aware of selective enforcement since the management company would give reports, particularly of infractions, to the POA Board. He stated that there was enforcement, and that the POA is open to improvement.

A resident interrupted; Mr. Mejia reiterated that he did not witness selective enforcement and that the POA Board would act on concerns raised. Mr. Babbar said that the CDD could not take part in the enforcement and reminded the Boards to focus on the items of collaboration between the CDD and POA.

Supervisor Acoff discussed two (2) overlapping items: speeding and parking vehicles that could block street views and said that residents could report these. He recalled a previous procedure on speeding wherein data was gathered from cameras and a notice was sent to residents for a violation. He asked if this process was still in operation, and Ms. Springer said that they were getting details on schedule, and that the POA would check if the radars were functioning. She added that this was an example of overlap, as the equipment is from the CDD and the enforcement is done by the POA. She asked who would be held liable if the POA received the speeding report late, and Mr. Melton responded that it would still have to be verified. Ms. Springer confirmed with the Board that the maximum allowable speed was 45 miles per hour, and Mr. Melton said that the process of enforcement would not be discussed at the current meeting. He added that the main discussion would be on how the CDD and POA could work hand in hand pertaining to authority in speeding and driving. He informed the CDD about court decisions that challenged the enforcement by the POA on parking on public roads and suggested that this could be addressed as well as the street trees.

Supervisor Acoff said there would be items which might be difficult to handle but attainable and acknowledged Mr. Melton and Mr. Babbar in helping the Board assess the legalities. He asked the Board to think about tangible actions they could address one at a time. Mr. Melton asked what type of tangible actions the Board would want and mentioned street trees. Supervisor Acoff said that speeding might be a bigger issue than street trees, and asked Counsel what the CDD could contribute.

Mr. Melton restated that the joint meeting was held to review the existing covenants as well as the method of enforcement within the scope of the POA covenants and discussed Section 14.2 regarding speed limits under Street Parking. He asked who among CDD, POA, or residents wanted the posting of speed limits. Mr. Aliaga suggested that the signs should be on every single street, particularly on East Cory Lakes, and Supervisor McIntyre confirmed that the 25 MPH signs were already up and said that residents should be fined if exceeded. She asked if Mr. Hall could provide radar information details to the POA in a timely manner so that they could notify homeowners of violations and mentioned that this process was executed before rather successfully. She commented that at some point, the POA put an end to sending notices, and Mr. Aliaga said that it should be resumed.

Supervisor McIntyre questioned the procurement of expensive speeding equipment when the POA was not doing enforcement and added that the CDD Board decided not to include such devices in their costs. She advised putting four or five cameras on Cory Lake Drive to end the speed limit violations and acknowledged the difficulty in handling residents' complaints. She noted limitations to fining homeowners considering public streets and that this would be another subject for discussion, and Mr. Melton stated that the topic could be discussed in the current meeting. He explained that the CDD has restrictions on enforcement, and the CDD Board agreed that they could not execute. Mr. Melton said that the item was an example of the overlap on authority, and suggested that this could be addressed, and Mr. Mejia concurred. Mr. Babbar explained that the agreement between the CDD and POA was already made in 2018, and that conveying the report from the CDD to the POA was the main issue. He added that Mr. Hall and the property manager should be informed if the POA had ceased the enforcement and stated that if the issue will be raised in the future, the POA Board could send a report to the CDD and the CDD could acknowledge receipt, and then actions could be taken from that point.

to the Board in the future.

Mr. Aliaga asked Counsel about implementation and if there was already an accord, and Ms. Springer said that she would team with Mr. Hall and their property manager to ensure that reports were provided on schedule and that the POA could carry out subsequent actions. She added that the POA would send notices once more. Supervisor McIntyre restated that the 25 miles per hour should be included in the document, and Mr. Babbar said that it was generally stated in Section 14.1 and recommended to not include the detailed speed limit so that it would be more flexible if adjustments on speeding were necessary. Mr. Melton added that they could address language applying to fine amounts if that were to be added to the covenants, since there could be changes

from time to time. Ha added that such amendments were aligned to current standards, and these would be helpful

Supervisor McIntyre raised concerns of people running stop signs and recommended adding cameras at the stop signs. She said that if the details of the violator were collected, the CDD could forward the report to the POA, and Ms. Springer explained that running stop signs would still be under Section 14.1 on traffic laws. Mr. Melton said that this was another example of the general statement in the covenants that the CDD would most likely recognize, and Ms. Springer stated that if the CDD added the devices and did the enforcement as well, the covenant would be disregarded because the Board has a process. Supervisor Fontcha mentioned that the CDD Board had discussed the installation of cameras with internet connection before and said that it was not approved due to high cost.

Supervisor Acoff said that he wanted the Boards to address the unsafe parking on the street, and mentioned a case in Canary Isle wherein a big box truck was parked. He advised engaging with a towing company or another way to resolve the issue. Supervisor Fontcha said that Mr. Hall would like to address some of the items that were discussed. Mr. Hall talked about speeding and said that the security company, currently Allied, was not giving tag details anymore due to the Driver Protection Act; however, they could only provide tag information on file if there was damage to public or private property. He stated that the challenge was that 90% of the homeowners' tag numbers were not on record, and Supervisor McIntyre suggested that the CDD could gather that information. Supervisor Acoff inquired if Envera could give the Board the details concerning the law, and asked Mr. Krause to get more information from other sources.

Mr. Babbar said that Counsel had checked what the Allied referenced, and Mr. Melton commented that they could in fact collect some data. Mr. Hall said that he would gather the information and forward it to the Board. Supervisor McIntyre asked Mr. Hall about Envera's provision of speed cameras, and Mr. Hall confirmed that the company would give devices to identify the owner. Supervisor McIntyre asked if the details were not collected when staff provides homeowners with the gate barcode, and Mr. Hall explained that the staff had been doing the registration and some homeowners only provide their insurance card. Mr. Fontcha said that this would only apply to violators who are residents and not visitors, because of their lacking tag numbers. Mr. Mejia advised that they were discussing the purchase of cameras that are about \$80,000, and Supervisor McIntyre clarified that those were the latest. Chairman Castillo said that there was one camera that was still working, and Mr. Hall explained that the existing camera was functional to one speed only using the multifactor authentication, unlike previously when it could monitor 35 and 45 miles per hour.

Mr. Mejia asked the number of cameras needed, and Mr. Hall said that it would depend on where the Board preferred to install them. Supervisor McIntyre said that they had four cameras and only one is operating, and Mr. Hall clarified that they had only one that could capture photos and the rest were data collectors for high speed in 15 or 30-minute intervals. Mr. Hall added that the camera at Bermuda Isle had the video footage, and that tag numbers could be obtained when violations occurred. Chairman Castillo said that this concern would be brought up again with more data due to the consideration of the law, and Mr. Babbar said that Counsel needed to confirm what the security company stated about information gathering and then the Board could check the possibility of adding more cameras. Supervisor Acoff asked when the process would begin, and Ms. Springer said that whenever the CDD could send the report to POA. Supervisor Fontcha said that the Board could not proceed since there were no tag numbers yet, and Chairman Castillo added that there would still be the law to consider. Supervisor McIntyre requested a report at the next CDD meeting.

Chairman Castillo moved the discussion to parking on the streets. Mr. Melton addressed the CDD Board and said that the POA had a difficult time due to lacking a solid definition of enforcement and advised that both Boards assess and make recommendations on how to address street parking. He advised there was currently no documentation that would allow the POA to enforce towing on public streets, and Supervisor McIntyre asked Mr. Melton if the POA could penalize the violators. Mr. Melton informed the Board about a situation wherein a resident wanted his hospice care provider to be parked on the street since there was not enough space in his garage or driveway. He mentioned that in Cory Lakes, the area behind the gate was property of the CDD and considered public. He asked the Board if they could find a way to resolve this issue and make the necessary amendments on the document. Mr. Babbar said that the CDD does not have the right to fine the violators and that this could not be incorporated in the covenants, since the CDD could only do what was referenced in Chapter 190. He added that it would be challenging to find a towing company in Cory Lakes as well as in other communities.

Supervisor McIntyre asked the possibility of the POA fining or warning street parking violators if the CDD could provide evidence, and Mr. Melton advised the Board to amend the covenants, which could have an impact on CDD authority, and thought that it would not be proper. He looked forward to the courts to reassess this subject and make appropriate changes. Supervisor McIntyre suggested getting another opinion as she thought the issue could be considered an exceptional case. Mr. Melton advised incorporating it in the document so that it could be resolved and stated that it would depend on the approval of the CDD. He added that the POA would like to discuss every detail applicable. Supervisor McIntyre acknowledged the challenges of the POA and said that she was aware that Ms. Springer spoke about having an LCAM verify the residents who were parking overnight. Supervisor McIntyre suggested the security company compile a list of people parking illegally from 1:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., put stickers on their vehicles, and forward the information to the POA to act on immediately.

Mr. Melton said that they could send a notice, and that the procedure on taking action would be the problem. Supervisor Fontcha reiterated that the CDD Board could amend the rules; however, they could not enforce them. Ms. Springer said that putting the sticker and issuing a warning might improve the current situation. Mr. Melton restated that the CDD could tow but there was no towing company to engage with, and Supervisor McIntyre said that they have been looking for a company for two years. Mr. Babbar said that he advised the CDD Board before that they could suspend amenities privileges if violations were made; however, there would be no assurance that this could affect the action of the resident, as they may not use amenities.

Chairman Castillo acknowledged the suggestion, and asked if this could be incorporated in the document. Mr. Babbar responded that it was covered only by the CDD, and Chairman Castillo asked if they could remove violators' resident gate access for one or two weeks. A resident commented that you could not remove the access. Supervisor Fontcha said that the Counsel would handle that for the community. Supervisor McIntyre asked Mr. Melton why one court case would affect the penalty on violators, and Mr. Melton said that part of his service as the Counsel is to advise and inform the Board about the court's decision.

Chairman Castillo asked Mr. Babbar if the CDD Board would be in compliance with regulations if privileges were removed, and Mr. Babbar answered that he had handled some cases and if the Board was uncertain of the policy, they could try to prove that the actions were reasonable. He added that he was not informed if there was a situation that happened a long time ago that stated it would not be allowable. Chairman Castillo advised the Board to proceed, and asked if this could be added at once. Mr. Babbar said that it would have to be put on the agenda at the CDD meeting which would be adopted by a resolution. Chairman Castillo asked Mr. Krause to assist them on this, and Mr. Krause clarified that it could be discussed at the February meeting. Supervisor McIntyre said that some homeowners were aggressive upon entering the gates if they were stopped by the security and suggested keeping their barcode. Mr. Babbar clarified that he was referring to the amenities, and Supervisor McIntyre confirmed that the gate access could not be removed and said that most of the residents were not using the amenities. Mr. Hall added that 80% of the community were not utilizing the facilities. Chairman Castillo asked if the "right of access" to the community would be denied if they removed resident access, and Mr. Babbar said it would be considered as denial of access and could be questioned about

the connection between the convenient access and illegal parking on the streets. Supervisor McIntyre confirmed that it could not be implemented.

Mr. Melton addressed the CDD Chairman and discussed Section 11.5c about Certain Vehicles. He asked the CDD Board if they wished to revise this part and provide an action plan, and Supervisor McIntyre asked the Counsel to clarify the inquiry. Mr. Babbar said that CDD could not control that.

Mr. Melton opened the discussion on lakes and the authority of the CDD and the POA over Cory Lakes.

Chairman Castillo asked Mr. Melton if they could readdress Certain Vehicles and recalled that there were residents complaining about golf carts. He asked if these would fall under Section 11.5c, and Mr. Babbar confirmed this and said that owners should have a valid driver's license. Mr. Babbar explained that Cory Lake Isles is not a golf cart community, and that the CDD could not decide on that. Chairman Castillo asked if the CDD could not deny access to golf carts if registered, and Mr. Babbar stated that the law or the POA might have the right to enforce. Supervisor McIntyre asked if Mr. Hall could report about golf carts entering the district and forward it to the POA. Mr. Melton said that the CDD has no authority and could not add it to the document. He added that a golf cart could be owned if parked in a garage, and that having a golf cart would not be considered a violation. Supervisor McIntyre suggested informing the POA if a resident was seen driving a golf cart on the streets, and Supervisor Acoff said that the security could not monitor that all day.

Mr. Melton returned to the item about lakes, and asked the CDD Board if they wanted any changes in the document on this part. He added that all items were referenced in Exhibit 1 to verify that every topic would be discussed. Supervisor Acoff thought that there should be a feedback form for the residents living near the lake, since he could not relate that much if there was an issue given his residence is not on the water. He advised the POA to prioritize some items on the listed response if the forms were collected, and some of those homeowners could be invited to the meeting. He also said that the CDD Board might have less information about the current situation at the lakes, and Supervisor McIntyre mentioned that some of the CDD Board do not live close to the lake. Mr. Babbar said that they could do that process of giving out feedback forms, and forward those to the POA. Supervisor Acoff stated that he did not have enough details yet to give comments about this section, and that he wanted to investigate first. Chairman Castillo said that there were complaints on non-residents conducting unauthorized activities on the lake, and asked the Board how they could control those events.

Mr. Aliaga advised having a gate, and Chairman Castillo said that the CDD Board had discussed installing a gate before and decided not to because of the maintenance and costs. Mr. Melton stated that it would be a CDD property issue, and Mr. Vasudeva asked Counsel how long it would take to install an entrance gate for the lakes. Mr. Babbar said that it would not be possible and explained that the identification card and license permit could be checked, and the challenge would be the boat alone. He added that the non-residents were still not allowed to use the lake, and Mr. Aliaga commented that they should head home then. Supervisor Fontcha restated that the expenses were highly considered during their last meeting about the lakes and said that the current discussion would lead to enforcement again. Mr. Vasudeva advised the Board to add cameras and contact other authorities who could give tickets if violations were made. Supervisor McIntyre clarified that Mr. Vasudeva's proposal would be to call TPD if the CDD received a report on speeding, and Supervisor Fontcha thought that Mr. Vasudeva wanted the TPD to have more time in the community if necessary. Chairman Castillo said that the TPD could not because of the district's funds, and that they would be in the community for 4 hours depending on their availability. Mr. Vasudeva suggested installing speed bumps, and Supervisor McIntyre acknowledged Mr. Vasudeva and advised the Board to continue the discussion on lakes.

Supervisor McIntyre asked about the process of enforcement on the lakes and added that boats should undergo inspections and asked how the CDD could assist the POA. Mr. Melton said that the CDD was not responsible for boat inspections, and Supervisor Acoff mentioned that inspections and supervisions were made when Mr. Harding, POA LCAM was present. Supervisor Acoff added that it could not always be Mr. Hall doing the tasks and asked the POA if they could execute again the actions that had been effective in past years. Mr. Mejia clarified that the lakes are under the authority of CDD, and asked the CDD Board if they could work with

Page 8 of 13

the POA to use the CDD's boat for inspections. Ms. Springer asked Supervisor Acoff if he was referring to the back of the property for the inspections, and Supervisor Acoff said that he meant the boats. Ms. Springer said that Ms. Schewe, the current POA LCAM, could not oversee all the items mentioned in Lakes, Docks, and Shoreline section, and including skiing, because Ms. Schewe would need to be on the lakes for that. Ms. Springer added that Ms. Schewe's task was to check homeowners' properties, which included observing docks, homes, and boats for conformity and proper function. She said that speeding would be an example of what the POA could not perform since this would mostly happen during the night and on weekends, and that Ms. Schewe would not be present at that time.

Supervisor Acoff asked if Ms. Schewe were to have more time on Morris Bridge Rd, would that help, and Ms. Springer said that she would talk to Mr. Harding regarding this matter. Supervisor Fontcha asked if the POA could give a monthly report on violations and fines to check the activities made, and Chairman Castillo added that there was no need to mention the home details in the report. Mr. Melton asked the CDD Board if they wanted to have amendments to the document about the lakes. Supervisor McIntyre spoke about Section 12.5, and asked if the POA was providing vehicle permits every June 30th. Ms. Springer answered that they were issuing permits, and that she would check the procedure for the renewal every year.

Supervisor McIntyre moved on to Section 12.6 and asked the POA if quarantine and treatments were being done, and Ms. Springer said no. Supervisor McIntyre advised the POA that it would be necessary to ensure the safety of the lakes from harmful weeds and other species and said that Mr. Hall mentioned that Solitude spoke to him regarding this matter. Supervisor McIntyre added that boats from outside Cory Lakes could have hydrilla on them, and Mr. Krause said that hydrilla could be a big concern. Chairman Castillo acknowledged Mr. Mejia's point that the POA did not have a boat and asked the CDD Board to allow the POA to use their boat. Supervisor Acoff asked what disclaimers are needed for the POA or LCAM to ride in the boat and clarified that Mr. Hall would be driving it. Mr. Babbar said that if Mr. Hall was to drive the boat, it would be favorable to the CDD, and Supervisor Acoff confirmed that the Board should have that as a condition. Supervisor McIntyre made a motion to utilize the boat if Mr. Hall operated it.

On a MOTION by Ms. McIntyre, SECONDED by Mr. Castillo, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board approved the **POA to Utilize the CDD Boat only under the Operation of Mr. Hall,** for the Cory Lakes Community Development District.

Supervisor McIntyre spoke about Section 12.4b and asked if the Board could increase the age limit of water vehicle operation. Mr. Hall mentioned that there were complaints on children riding jet skis. Ms. Springer asked if the minimum age stated in the law to operate a boat was 14 years old, and Mr. Babbar confirmed that it was based on Florida Boating Regulations.

Mr. Aliaga suggested having all boats be insured in the amount of \$1,000,000 to protect the lake, and Supervisor McIntyre asked if that was included in the document. Mr. Babbar said that the CDD Board was asking if this should be added to the covenants, and Mr. Aliaga said that there were old boats on the lake which were not insurance verified. Supervisor Fontcha asked if insurance should be incorporated in the covenants. Mr. Aliaga reiterated that every boat should have insurance, and Mr. Melton said that the aforementioned insurance policy would be too costly and that they would have to ask for residents' feedback. Mr. Aliaga said that it would protect the lake and activities such as fishing. Supervisor Acoff asked if proof of insurance should be provided aside from the inspection and IDs. Mr. Mejia clarified that the Board wanted both the insurance certificate and inspection, and Supervisor Acoff agreed. Supervisor Fontcha asked if the mentioned conditions were the minimum criteria based on Florida Law, and Supervisor Acoff said that Counsel would inform them of the requirements regarding insurance. Mr. Melton said that there were no specifics disclosed about insurance, and Supervisors asked if any amount would be acceptable as long as the boat had insurance. Mr. Babbar said that insurance was not required based on his research, and Supervisor McIntyre and Mr. Aliaga said that it should be added. Mr. Babbar explained that when residents bought property here, they were already aware of the covenants, and acknowledged that there was some overlap by the CDD and POA.

Supervisor McIntyre asked the POA who would be responsible for the safety inspections. Mr. Melton said that they would not want the POA to handle the inspection, and Supervisor McIntyre asked if there would be an establishment that would inspect the boats. Chairman Castillo and Supervisor Fontcha thought that it was not stated in the rules, and Supervisor McIntyre said that the boats should be inspected before being allowed into the lakes. Mr. Babbar stated that would be difficult to enforce.

Mr. Melton moved on to Easements, and asked the CDD Board if there were concerns that needed to be addressed in this part. Mr. Hall said that there were difficulties in access to two ponds in Canary Isle due to limited easement space, wherein equipment could not pass through. Ms. Springer spoke about the homes in circle ponds, and Mr. Melton said that the Board could not cover that scope because those were considered as private properties. Mr. Hall agreed, and Mr. Melton added that it would also be an option for the Board to omit this part. Mr. Krause asked if fences were built on CDD easements in Cory Lakes, and Ms. Springer and Mr. Hall said that residents were planting foliage in the easements. Mr. Hall mentioned that they encountered an issue in Anguilla wherein there were fences and noted that there should be no fence in the easements. Mr. Melton said it would depend on what property would be affected by the easements. He said that the items discussed had CDD and POA overlap, and Supervisor McIntyre said that she compared the old document with Exhibit 1 and commented that there were additional parts that should have been discussed, particularly, Sections 11.5c to f, and 11.6. Mr. Melton said that the Counsel did not put every section in the agenda packet, and Supervisor McIntyre read, in part, about parking on a temporary short-term basis defined as 24 hours. She informed the Board of the current guidelines stating an exemption for people to park on the street if they did not have enough space in their driveway and thought that the POA should be responsible for enforcing that, and not the CDD.

Mr. Hall clarified that those cars were permitted to block the sidewalk and not be on the street, and Supervisor McIntyre restated that it should be under the POA's authority and added that the CDD made the policy to address the concern. Mr. Babbar explained that the driveway to the sidewalk up to the road were covered by the CDD and that homeowners should be accountable to maintain the driveway aprons up to the road right of way based on the covenant. Mr. Babbar said that the homeowners needed to raise their concerns about parking space to an authority, which happened to be the CDD, and opined on whether the request mentioned by Supervisor McIntyre was that it should be the POA. Supervisor McIntyre said that the POA should check Mr. Hall's tasks on this part, and make the residents fill out a hardship agreement form. Chairman Castillo said that there would be only about 10 people involved in the community, and Mr. Hall agreed.

Chairman Castillo asked if Mr. Hall would provide the POA the agreement, and Mr. Melton said that the POA could not decide immediately on handing over to them this work because they had to assess it first. Supervisor Acoff said that the CDD Board did not have enough time to study the whole document to raise issues, and advised to evaluate fully the covenants so that the CDD Board could also open a discussion of their suggested items to the POA. He added that the subject for the meeting was limited and might be expanded if both Boards could discuss their own findings on the document.

Mr. Mejia asked the reason behind the CDD Board's request, and Supervisor McIntyre restated that this topic should not be handled by the CDD. Chairman Castillo asked Mr. Hall if there were some difficulties regarding this matter, and Mr. Hall said that it would depend on the situation since some residents would ask him the purpose of the hardship agreement. Chairman Castillo asked Mr. Babbar if this issue should still be under the CDD authority, and Mr. Babbar said that the CDD had the manpower and more control, and acknowledged the point being made in terms of responsibility. He added that for every action of either CDD or POA, budget would always be considered which would be coming from the same homeowners. Chairman Castillo reiterated that this subject might not be a big challenge as compared to other issues because there were less than 10 residents involved, and Mr. Babbar explained that there was a difference of opinion from CDD and POA. Mr. Babbar advised the Boards to reach a compromise and have better communication on distributing tasks, and Chairman Castillo said that what he received from Mr. Hall before was that this was being handled properly. Supervisor McIntyre asked Counsel if a resident who parked on the sidewalk would violate the covenants, and Mr. Melton said that he would check on that. Supervisor Fontcha said that if the Board would consider it a violation, this should be incorporated into the covenants unless the waiver from the CDD was

Page **10** of **13**

January 11, 2024

provided. Supervisor McIntyre thought that this would be under TPD and confirmed it with Ms. Springer. She advised an LCAM to monitor, and if a car was parked blocking the sidewalk, they would provide notice of violation; however, that resident would call Mr. Hall for the exemption. Mr. Babbar clarified that the current policy was the section between the sidewalk and the road, and not blocking the sidewalk. Supervisors mentioned a big truck that blocked a sidewalk on Java Isle Drive where driveways were not long enough, and Mr. Hall said that there was a house on Maui Isle Drive where the sidewalk would be blocked if a car was parked. Supervisor McIntyre said that Mr. Hall would exempt a resident and the LCAM would call Mr. Hall, and she pointed out that it would be better if only one was in charge. She also spoke about Section 11.6 regarding vehicle's parking storage and said that this was not included in the Exhibit. She read, in part, that no vehicle may be parked on the lawn at any time and said that CDD could help the POA in this matter because the CDD has a rover driver who would report violations, such as parking on the grass, and then the CDD could forward the details to the POA for enforcement.

Supervisor McIntyre said that she noticed that the Board could act on it based on the existing covenant, and that the challenge would be gathering the details by the security company and forwarding the violation reports to the POA. Chairman Castillo mentioned parking on the wrong side of the streets, and Mr. Hall said that it was a state ordinance. Supervisor McIntyre stated that it was included in the covenants. A resident interrupted and informed the Board that a minimum of three homes on Java Isle Drive had their cars parked which blocked the sidewalk all day and said that they have only two or three cars. He added that no one was taking any action on it. Supervisor Fontcha suggested that when the residents had received the copy of the document and if they really need to park on the sidewalk, they could ask for a waiver, and Supervisor McIntyre restated that this should be the responsibility of LCAM so that they would not contact Mr. Hall anymore to issue a hardship agreement. Ms. Springer said that Mr. Hall was issuing the agreement and could give it to the POA, and asked if that would be difficult.

Mr. Melton noted that from the POA's perspective, important parts in the document were already discussed, and said that they could move on to the next agenda item, and the Boards agreed. Supervisor Acoff asked Chairman Castillo if they could have their Counsel review the covenants and the CDD Board would also give their assessment. Mr. Melton said that the CDD Board should sign off on the amendments with reference to CDD-related items, and the POA could have a meeting with the CDD to get its feedback. He added that the CDD could evaluate the whole document and stated that the approval would still depend on the community.

Supervisor McIntyre suggested that Supervisor Acoff wanted to know if it would be possible, and Mr. Melton said that the CDD could have a meeting on that. Mr. Babbar stated that the CDD Board could not use the community resources, such as the time of staff, for that purpose, and said that it would be fair enough to forward the things they want to add to the covenants to the POA for its review. He advised the CDD Board to avoid having a workshop or meeting, and Supervisor Acoff commented that there were items mentioned that the Board could not address. Supervisors said that it would not be proper to have an agreement between the POA, which had reviewed the document for three years, and the CDD, which had one day to review, and Mr. Melton said that they were getting feedback from the CDD side.

Supervisor Acoff noted that he was not comfortable with the idea that as a resident, he could not make any action about it, and Supervisor McIntyre added that several homeowners felt the same since they thought that their concerns on the covenants were not being heard. She acknowledged Mr. Hansen's statement that he was part of the Rewrite Committee and that his suggestions were not evident in the amendments. She added that the residents wanted to have a town hall meeting so that they could participate in the process of making changes to the document. She also mentioned a time wherein they had town hall meetings to get the response of the homeowners about the modifications in the Beach Club Agreement. She stated the importance of the covenants, and noted a concern that residents felt they could not contribute to the changes and reiterated that town hall meetings would be highly appreciated before the voting process. Supervisor Fontcha said that both the CDD and POA wanted to serve the community and advised the Boards to be transparent in every action. He added that the community has the right to approve or reject the suggested changes in the document. Supervisor Acoff said that he became a Supervisor to represent the community and emphasized that transparency should be practiced. Mr.

Joint POA/CDD Meeting

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491 492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521 522

523

Babbar said that the Boards might have different points of view and advised them to proceed with the Audience Comments.

Supervisor McIntyre asked the number of people needed for the approval of the covenants, and Mr. Melton said that it was 51%. Supervisor McIntyre also asked if it would be based on the community or the 10% of the community as stated in the bylaws, and Mr. Melton said that he would check. Supervisor McIntyre mentioned that there was a meeting she attended before wherein she should have been part of the Rewrite Committee, and Ms. Springer and Mr. Ramphal were present at that time. She said that they discussed the bylaws, stating that 10% of the community would be followed. Mr. Babbar asked Mr. Melton to verify the number of people and that this be clarified for the homeowners.

Chairman Castillo spoke about Section 12 and recalled that there were changes in the length of boats from 18 to 20.5 feet. He advised to incorporate this in the amendments, and Supervisor Acoff asked who made the revisions. Mr. Babbar asked the CDD Board if they have other questions for the POA Board, and Chairman Castillo asked if they could move forward to Audience Comments while waiting for Mr. Melton's response. Mr. Babbar said that they could proceed and thanked the POA Board for attending the meeting.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS – Audience Comments – New Business

Chairman Castillo opened Audience Comments.

Mr. Carpenter said that wakeboarding and wake surfing were not included in Section 12. Mr. Melton explained that there were 30 amendments to the documents for the past years and said that he could not answer immediately the questions and that he would need to review all the changes. He added that residents would receive a single document with all the amendments for their review.

Mr. Gudale suggested increasing the number of people needed to approve the updated covenants to at least 70% of the community. He asked the POA Board to clarify the correct percentage and commented that 10% might not be possible. Supervisor McIntyre said that Mr. Melton would confirm it, and Mr. Mejia said that the Board would have to consider Counsel's statements.

Mr. Halade acknowledged the items that were discussed, and pointed out that five to ten palm trees were dying. He said that there were no actions taken on them in the past four years and mentioned that he sent an email before. He asked if the CDD or POA could find ways to resolve this and could assess the landscape maintenance company's suggestions.

Ms. Greco stated that she was also part of the Rewrite Committee along with other residents and mentioned events that had happened. She said that they were requesting an update, and Ms. Springer said that the covenants were addressed by a subcommittee. Ms. Greco commented that the POA during that time was not transparent with the revisions.

Ms. McCormick acknowledged the importance of the covenants and said that she and other homeowners were concerned that they were not given an opportunity to contribute to the amendments. She stated several incorporations they would like the POA to consider adding to the covenants, such as no land annexations, no apartments, condominiums, multi-family homes, and the like, and no homes under 2,500 square feet would be built.

Ms. Taylor asked Mr. Melton if other districts also had joint meetings between the CDD and POA, and what the standard method would be for reviewing the covenants. Mr. Melton responded that most of the communities would not have a joint CDD/POA meeting and said that residents think that the POA was not being transparent and clarified that was not the case. He explained that a group would initially discuss what could be changed in the document and they would open it to the homeowners for feedback and approval by the voting process. He stated that the purpose of the current meeting was to get the opinion of the CDD on CDD-related items. He mentioned that he was working in a larger community and said that they had been discussing their covenants for the past five years. He stated that it was usual for a community to take several years to review the covenants.

Page 12 of 13

Supervisor Acoff asked if they could proceed with the meeting, as some POA members left the meeting, and Mr. Babbar said that the discussion was part of the CDD process. Chairman Castillo asked if the CDD could still make decisions, and Mr. Babbar said the CDD Board could still vote on CDD items if needed. Mr. Mejia asked it at this point in the meeting the POA members had the option to stay at the meeting, and Mr. Babbar agreed. Supervisor McIntyre stated that the residents appreciated the presence of the remaining POA members.

Ms. Karetsky said that she was one of the residents who proposed the no annexation of land and commented that she had experienced selective enforcement by the POA. She also mentioned concerns with certain POA staff, and thanked everyone at the meeting for staying behind, and she wished everyone to be on good terms. She added that a Board member was working for both the CDD and POA and thought that it might be a conflict.

Mr. Hansen said that the concerns mentioned during the current meeting were already raised before by the Rewrite Committee he belonged to, such as no construction of apartments, condominiums, or townhomes; no annexation of land; and no building of homes under 2,500 square feet.

Mr. Reich recalled that 51% of homeowners should approve allowing the amendments in the document, said that Counsel should validate the number. He asked the POA Board to give residents enough time to review the changes and asked them to ensure the amendments would give homeowners a better quality of life. Mr. Melton said the original document stated 60% of the residents was needed, and it was later changed to 51%. He added that he would still review the details on this part and would get back to the Board.

Mr. Foster spoke about having two cars parked in the driveway and suggested that it should be changed. He said that restraining homeowners to own an electric vehicle charger would be a huge liability for the POA and added that POA had limited authority on where this charger should be installed, as it had affected the look of the property. He also added that the covenants were outdated on solar power systems, as several people were using them already, and said that the state law declared that one could not hinder a resident from installing solar panels. He also noted some residents have their clotheslines in the front of their property and were asked to put those in the back. He commented that a million-dollar insurance policy for boats was not too costly and said that he was also in favor on not adding a new property and not selling the Meadows. He noted some houses were not well-maintained and that there was selective enforcement. He also raised concerns about certain POA staff.

Mr. Guzman commented that he was surprised to hear that the initial members of the Rewrite Committee were replaced by a subcommittee which was not known. He mentioned that concerns of the POA LCAM would be handled properly and said that he also wanted to know the correct percent of resident approvers on the voting process. He asked the CDD and POA Boards as well as both Counsel if they would agree to the suggested inclusions in the covenants by most of the residents.

Ms. Pocaro said that she wanted to know the voting process, and asked the Board to clarify if the percentages were based on CDD or Florida State Law. Mr. Melton stated that the ballot would be sent to her registered address in the POA records.

Mr. Paul asked the Board to post the final draft of the covenants and give the residents enough time to review it.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS – Adjournment

Chairman Castillo adjourned the meeting at 8:59 p.m.

*Each person who decides to appeal any decision made by the Board with respect to any matter considered at the meeting is advised that person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, including the testimony and evidence upon which such appeal is to be based.

Meeting minutes were approved at a meeting by vote of the Board of Supervisors at a publicly noticed meeting held on _____ February 22, 2024 _____.

Cory Lakes CDD

Joint POA/CDD Meeting

January 11, 2024

Page 13 of 13

568

Signature

Signature

569

Printed Name

570 Title: Secretary

Assistant Secretary

Printed Name

Title: Dehairman Dice Chairman